

PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q90170

Ian Anthony JONES, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/806,613

Group Art Unit: 1793

Confirmation No.: 3061

Examiner: Maria Alexandra ELVE

Filed: March 29, 2001

For: WELDING METHOD

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on
January 29, 2008:

REMARKS

During the interview, the following was discussed:

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: NA
2. Identification of claims discussed: All
3. Identification of art discussed: Corrsin, Muellich, Andrus, and EP decision
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: NA
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: Applicant's representative discussed the Amendment filed on December 20, 2007 to further clarify Applicant's position.
6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: NA

7. Results of Interview: The Examiner indicated that she would issue an Office Action in due course.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



Travis B. Ribar
Registration No. 61,446

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: June 17, 2008